



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,917	11/26/2003	Victor Manuel Villalobos	9832		
7590 06/14/2005		EXAMINER			
VICTOR M. VILLALOBOS			VU, STEPHEN A		
2955 SUMMITO MARIETTA, G			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,			. 3636	3636	

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		b-6	J				
7		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/723,9	17	VILLALOBOS, VICTOR MANUEL			
		Examiner		Art Unit .			
		. Stephen A		3636			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply verely received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eventuation. of days, a reply within the state utory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timel the mailing date of this co			
Status							
1)[\implies]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 11/26/03 & 4/9/	04				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)							
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims				•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) 1-13 and 18 is/are rejected. ☑ Claim(s) 14-17 is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 November</u> Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	2003 is/are: a) ☐ a tion to the drawing(s) t the correction is requir	ne held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documental al Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachmen	nt(s)						
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		O-152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/723,917

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 202,401,403,504,503,508. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective

Art Unit: 3636

action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 8, line 15, it is unclear what applicant is meant by "electro-mechanical devices".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-2,7,9, and 11-12 are objected to because of the following informalities: the title of the invention should be removed from the claim limitation in claim 1, in claim 2, on line 3, "(back and forward)" should be changed since parentheses are reserved for structural element numbers, in claim 7, line 2, the phrase "able actuator" doesn't appear to be clear, in claim 7, line 4, "wich" appears to be a misspelling, claim 7 should be written as one complete phrase with only one period, and in claim 9, line 1, the phrase "able support" doesn't appear to be clear. For claims 11-12, please see the first comment regarding words or phrases in parentheses. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 14-17 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 14-17 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-4 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 2 recites the limitations "the two stop limits", "the back support", and "the reading position" in lines 2-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the bottom" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 18 recites the limitations "The mechanisms, the electrical or electronic controls" and "the electrical hardness", "the seat assembly", "the various positions", "the take-off position", "the resting position", "the upright reclaimable position" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taylor (#2,500,742).

Taylor shows a seating apparatus comprising a main seat frame (11), a back seat (15), a sub back seat (24), a seat pan, a footrest (16), and mechanisms and controls (37,40-42).

Conclusion

Page 5

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Matsumiya, Hanson et al, Garas, Weant et al, and Smith are cited as showing similar types of seating apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-6862. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 8:30 am to 7:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Cuomo can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Vu June 12, 2005